Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Correct	ted	Supplemental		
LRB Number 09-3326/1	Introductio	n Number Al	B-0734		
Description The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit aut	hority				
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues		- May be possible agency's budget \tilde{\to}No		
Permissive Mandatory Perr	ease Revenue missive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory	Counties	ts Village		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signatu	re	Date		
DOR/ Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773 Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773			3/10/2010		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/10/2010

LRB Number 09-3326/1	Introduction Number	AB-0734	Estimate Type	Original		
Description						
The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit authority						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill allows for the creation of a Fox Cities RTA that would have similar powers and duties as several RTAs authorized under current law (the Dane County RTA, the Chippewa Valley RTA, and the Chequamegon Bay RTA).

Specifically, the bill would allow the Fox Cities RTA to be created if two or more municipalities located in the urbanized Fox Cities metropolitan planning area (which includes the City of Appleton) adopt a resolution to join the RTA and the resolution is ratified by the electors by referendum. Other municipalities located in whole or in part in the counties of Outagamie, Calumet, and Winnebago would be eligible to join the Fox Cities RTA if approved by referendum within the joining municipality. The RTA may operate, or contract for the operation of, a transportation system. The jurisdictional area of the RTA would be combined territorial boundaries of all municipalities creating or joining the RTA.

The Fox Cities RTA would have the authority to impose up to a 0.5% sales tax in its jurisdictional area. The Department of Revenue would retain 1.5% of the amounts collected for the department's costs in administering the tax on behalf of the RTA.

If the Fox Cities RTA imposes a sales tax, no municipality that is a member of the RTA may levy property taxes for transit purposes more than an amount that it levied in the year before the year the sales tax is imposed. The amount of the levy for transit purposes must also be decreased by the amount that sales tax collections exceed the amount of federal funding the municipality previously received for transit purposes.

The amount of revenue generated by a Fox Cities RTA sales tax would be dependent on both the tax rate imposed and the particular municipalities that join the RTA. Based on the UW-Extension's estimates of the amount of revenue that could be generated in 2010 by Outagamie, Calumet, and Winnebago Counties if these counties were to adopt the county sales tax, the maximum annual Fox Cities RTA sales tax collections would be an estimated \$33.1 million assuming that the tax is imposed at the maximum 0.5% and across the entirety of these three counties.

If, however, the municipalities that join the Fox Cities RTA are limited to those that are currently served by Valley Transit (which is owned and operated by the City of Appleton), a narrower estimate concentrating on the Appleton area may be developed. Assuming that the sales tax revenue that could be generated across the three counties would be generated solely upon the population of each municipality within the three counties, an estimated \$16.6 million could be generated at the full 0.5% maximum sales tax allowed under the bill. Valley transit operates in the cities of Appleton, Kaukauna, Menasha, and Neenah; the villages of Kimberly and Little Chute; and the towns of Buchanan, Grand Chute, and Menasha.

Under this scenario, DOR would retain approximately \$250,000 for the costs of administering the tax.

The bill's impact on property tax levies is uncertain. While municipalities that join the RTA may not levy more for transit purposes than they did prior to adoption of the sales tax, it is uncertain if the aggregate levy of any individual participating locality would go up or down because a flat or decreased levy for transit purposes could be offset by an increase in the levy for other purposes.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Dupdated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 09-3326/1	Introduction Num	ber AB-0734				
Description The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit a	uthority					
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	cal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS (DOR admin fee)						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in l		r decrease state				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT	·				
	State	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$varies by rate & scope	\$varies by rate & scope				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$varies by rate & scope	\$varies by rate & scope				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOR/ Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	3/10/2010				

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected S	upplemental						
LRB Number 09-3326/1	Introduction Number AB-	0734						
Description The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit au	Description The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit authority							
Fiscal Effect		,						
Appropriations Re	rease Existing venues crease Existing venues Thorrease Costs - M to absorb within ag yes Yes Decrease Costs							
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DOT/ Albert Stanek (608) 266-1681	3) 266-1681 Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 2/25/							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 2/25/2010

LRB Number 09-3	3326/1	Introduction Number	AB-0734	Estimate Type	Original
Description				· · ·	
The creation of a Fox Cities regional transit authority					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill authorizes a Fox Valley RTA, which would have no incremental workload impact on the Department. The Department's oversight role would not be significantly increased.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB Number 09-3326/1 Introduction Number AB-0734							
Descri The cre	ption eation of a Fox	Cities regio	onal transit a	uthority			
	time Costs or lized fiscal effe		mpacts for S	State and/or	Local Governm	nent (do n	ot include in
II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact or				t on funds from:			
					Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
A. Stat	te Costs by Ca	tegory					
State	e Operations - S	Salaries an	d Fringes		\$		\$
(FTE	Position Chan	ges)					
State	e Operations - (Other Cost:	S				
Loca	al Assistance						
Aids	to Individuals o	or Organiza	ations				
T	OTAL State Co	sts by Ca	tegory		\$		\$
B. Stat	te Costs by So	urce of Fu	ınds				
GPF	₹						
FED	1						
PRC)/PRS						
SEG	S/SEG-S						
	te Revenues - ues (e.g., tax in				al will increase o	r decreas	e state
					Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
GPF	R Taxes				\$		\$
	REarned						
FED	·······						
)/PRS						
	SEG/SEG-S						
	TOTAL State Revenues				\$		\$
		1	NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT	T	
					State		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$		\$		
NET C	HANGE IN RE	VENUE			\$		\$
Agenc	y/Prepared By			Authorized	Signature		Date
DOT/ A	DOT/ Albert Stanek (608) 266-1681					2/25/2010	